

The Budget 2022/23



January 2022

Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner

Contents

Budget consultation 2022: What we've heard	
Key highlights	
Background	2
YouGov	3
Budget survey 2022	5
Focus groups	7
Appendix 1: YouGov data tables	10
Appendix 2: Budget survey 2022 data tables	14

Budget consultation 2022: What we've heard

Key highlights

- A total of 4,314 responses received during our four week consultation period.
- When asked, 64% of participants support the 4.4% increase in the precept for 2022/23
- Top 3 districts for precept support: Hart (72%), East Hants (78.4%), Portsmouth (68.1%) ²
- Top three PCC priorities³ for residents are: Zero tolerance on knife crime 65%; Improved police visibility 56%; 600 more police officers by 2023 52%
- 27 press articles about the survey created 'opportunities to see' of 1,329,318.
- Top three crimes to tackle⁴: Knife crime 72%; Rape and serious sexual offences 71%; Violent crimes (inc assault & robbery) 71%
- Residents want to see what the precept increase is going towards, they want tangible outcomes and measures and for the PCC to hold the Chief Constable to account on this.

Background

The past year we have continued to face unprecedented challenges. With the impact of Covid-19 we are together navigating the landscape as best as we can, with the resources available to us. Here at the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner we have continued to engage with our residents, ensuring their voices are heard and acted upon. The 2022/23 Budget consultation follows a similar format to last year, with our approach being to utilise our open networks and publish an online survey⁵, to utilise our YouGov survey 500 and to undertake a virtual focus group with members of the public, members of our OPCC Consultation and Focus Group panel and members of our OPCC Older Persons Reference Group.

Hampshire and the Isle of Wight has a population of around 2 million residents (ONS est. 2020 1,999,006⁶) with a near 50/50 gender split (female population 50.55%, male population 49.44%). With a predominantly white (92.9%) self-defined ethnicity for Hampshire residents, the districts of Southampton (7.47%) and Basingstoke and Deane (5.71%) see higher Asian populations, and Portsmouth (3.72%) and Southampton (3.54%) seeing higher populations of those self-defined as Black. Fareham sees a 100% British nationality population compared to Southampton where 20% of the population are non-British.

Southampton (12% and 18%) and Portsmouth (14% and 16%) sees higher numbers of those aged 18-24yrs and 24-34yrs compared to Hampshire and the Isle of Wight, those aged 55 and over are predominantly on the Isle of Wight (45%) and in Hampshire (37%).

Over 85% of the area covered by Hampshire County Council is rural whilst 85% of the population in Hampshire live on 15% of the land, with the majority living on the south coast and the north of the county.

¹ This is £10 per year for band D properties, 83ppw or £7.77per year for band B properties, 65ppw.

² From public survey

³ From public survey

⁴ From pubic survey

⁵ via Alchemer

⁶ Population estimates - Office for National Statistics (ons.gov.uk)

We recognise that by only being able to engage with the public online that this does leave those without the means of contributing online potentially missing out on the opportunity to have their say. Here in Hampshire and the Isle of Wight around 4.4%⁷ of residents have never accessed the internet, this is lower than the national average at 6.3%. This is why it is more important than ever that our partners and stakeholders support us in driving public consultations into all communities across our policing area.

We are now two years into a global pandemic, with ongoing restrictions placed upon residents. This year, 2022, will see significant increases into the public's gas and electric bills as the UK and other countries face an energy crisis, leading to concerns over the cost of living as this is set to increase further. This, alongside the expected annual increases to expenditure, are additional factors directly impacting our residents. In undertaking this budget consultation, we are acutely aware of the financial strain our residents and communities are currently experiencing, and are set to face in the coming financial year. We do not take the proposed increase lightly, rather we take the opportunity to outline how, should an increase to the precept take place, the funds raised are spent and what this looks like for our Hampshire and Isle of Wight Communities.

In addition we see that Ipsos Mori's Global Trend Report⁸ identifies a growth of people who are wary about sharing their personal data in today's data-driven world. While Covid-19 and the effect of languishing⁹, where more people are feeling fatigue and a lack of motivation, particularly as more things move online meaning there can be little separation between work and home life. This can result in less interest in life in general, and in turn apathy for taking part in consultations and surveys.

Our diverse population generates much opportunity for engagement. This budget survey covers a four and a half week consultation and engagement period from December 2nd 2021 to January 4th 2022. With a total of 4,314 responses from across multiple platforms here's what we've heard during our budget consultation period for 2022/23:

YouGov

YouGov¹⁰ is a research and analytics organisation who have the ability to track and interact with the public on a wide range of topics and issues. Here at the OPCC we have invested into 'Profiles', this services allows us to better understand what the residents of Hampshire and the Isle of Wight say and feel in relation to policing.

Our YouGov survey data is demographically weighted across our 14 districts to ensure the data is robust. Every 6 months we run a survey with 500 different residents. To date we now have 5,000 demographically weighted responses to the core questions for our Survey 500 in, tracking feelings of safety and sentiment to policing. Here we will be exploring the views of residents around the precept and where they would like to see any additional funding spent. For full data tables see appendix 1.

⁷ Internet users - Office for National Statistics (ons.gov.uk)

⁸ Global Trends 2021 (ipsos.com)

⁹ Are You Languishing? Here's What To Know (verywellmind.com)

¹⁰ https://business.yougov.com/product/crunch

Our 500 residents demographically weighted across our 14 districts, in December 2021 told us:

- 61% (331) of residents said 'yes' they would be willing to pay the 4.4% increase in council tax for 2022/23.
- Gosport (83.64%), Fareham (66.82%) and Eastleigh (64.72%) are the districts showing the highest support for the increase.
- Districts with the lowest support are Southampton (43.33%), Hart (45.22%) and the Isle of Wight (49.93%).

Across all ages and gender breakdown we see support for the increase, apart from females 18-24 at 20.36%, compared to females overall at 65.56%.

	Female	Female	Female	Female	Female	Male	Male	Male	Male	
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	Male 55+
Yes, I would	20.36%	65.56%	73.88%	69.21%	73.19%	50.50%	48.41%	50.09%	60.28%	62.08%
Table 1: Age/Gender of support for the 4.4% precept increase										

Looking at our Survey 500 participants we see a gender split of 51.17% female and 48.83% male, with the ethnicity of 92.92% of participants being White British. This reflects ONS population estimates here in Hampshire and the Isle of Wight. Of the 500 participants who took part we see that 62.24% of White British residents support the precept increase. For full data tables see appendix 1.

Participants were also asked "If increased funding is raised through the council tax precept for policing, which types of crime would you like to see tackled?" and to select all that apply. We see the top three crime types selected are:

- Rape and serious sexual assault- 59.46%
- Theft (in burglary and vehicle crime) 57.03%
- Violent crimes (inc. assault and robbery)- 55.48%

When looking across our 14 districts we see variance in the overall crimes identified. Gosport records rape and serious sexual assault as the lowest at 40.28% compared to the other districts, Hart is the highest at 73.95%. Test Valley has ASB at 34.03%, the lowest for all districts, where as we see the Isle of Wight has this at 63.62%, the highest of all our districts.

Residents were also given the option to specify other crime types that need to be focused on that are not mentioned. In the comments we see key themes emerging around the illegal use of e-scooters, speeding and road related crime and a range of ASB related crimes.

Types of crime want to see tackled	
Rape and serious sexual offences/ abuse	59.46%
Theft (including burglary and vehicle crime)	57.03%
Violent crimes (including assault and robb	55.48%
Crimes against those who might be vulner	51.97%
Knife crime	51.93%
Anti-social behaviour: criminal damage (e	51.05%
Drug dealing	50.45%
Anti-social behaviour: drug taking behavio	50.11%
Child sexual exploitation	49.45%
Anti-social behaviour: drunken behaviour/	47.76%
Domestic abuse	46.30%
Anti-social behaviour: groups of people ha	44.29%
Fraud and scams	42.20%
Modern slavery/ human trafficking	41.50%
Animal abuse	40.62%
Hate crime (i.e. a crime motivated by racia	39.79%
Rural crime (i.e. incidents that occur in rur	35.99%
Cyber crime (i.e. crimes carried out online)	35.75%
Road safety (e.g. speeding, road noise)	30.20%
Business crime (i.e. any crime affecting bu	22.72%
Other	5.03%
Don't know	4.80%
Not applicable - there are no crimes in part	2.78%
Prefer not to say	1.17%

Table 2: Crimes to be tackled if increased funding is raised

Key themes from the open question "What positive improvements would you like to see happen in your local community if policing was to receive increased funding?":

Visibility, accessibility and engagement
Resident's spoke of feeling strongly that there should be
increased police visibility within their local communities.
Residents are clear in that they want to see police officers 'out
and about' at all times of the day, with more police patrolling
the streets, particularly on foot. Residents feel this increased
police visibility will act as a deterrent to criminal behaviour,
create an increased sense of safety amongst residents and
enable officers to fully understand and integrate into local
communities. Residents want policing to be accessible and



ASB and lower-level crime

engaging on a community level.

We saw comments around residents wanting more action to tackle lower-level offences such as ASB, theft, burglary, littering, vehicular vandalism and public drug and alcohol use. Residents report these crimes seriously impact their feeling of safety both in and outside their homes, particularly after dark. Residents highlight concerns around young people committing acts of ASB and would like to see more youth diversion services available.

Road safety

Residents are concerned about speeding and aggressive driving in areas such as rural roads, housing estates and near schools. Residents also spoke of illegal e-scooter use, cycling on pavements and moped/scooters being used on pavements.

Budget survey 2022

This year's budget consultation launched on December 2nd 2021, and was open for four and a half weeks. We received 3,814 responses, with a 90.5% completion rate (3,451). The survey was distributed online through Hants Alert¹¹, key stakeholders, the OPCC's focus group and consultation panel and the OPCC's Older Persons Reference group.

The survey link was also promoted on social media via Facebook, Twitter and LinkedIn. This included paid advertising on Facebook which reached 129,825 people and generated 3,534 clicks through to the survey. We also saw 27 press articles about the survey which created an 'opportunity to see' figure of 1,329,318.









We saw positive representation across all 14 of our districts (see appendix 2 for full data table), with the top responding districts being:

- Basingstoke and Deane- 9.09%
- New Forest- 8.7%
- Eastleigh 7.8%

¹¹ Home Page - Hampshire Alert

Of the 3,754 participants who answered if they'd be willing to pay the 4.4% increase in council tax for 2022/23, 64% (2,392) said yes they would. Support for the increase ranges across the districts from Hart at 72.1% agreeing to pay more to the Isle of Wight at 48.6%. The top three districts in support of the 4.4% precept increase are:

- Hart -72.1%
- East Hants- 68.4%
- Portsmouth- 68.1%

When looking at age and gender of our participants we see that 55.79% are male, 30.34% are female, 0.10% are trans and 13.11% of participants prefer not to say/didn't specify. The majority of participants were those ages 65-74yrs (26.19%) followed by those 55-64 (18.72%) and those not stating their age (17.33%). Support for the increase ranged from 73.67% for those 75+ to 51.22% for those 35-44. Both males (63.02%) and females (70.70%) support the increase. We can see from the data that all males and females across all age groups are more than 50% in support, with the lowest level of support from males 25-34 (50%) and the highest level of support females 75+ (84.5%).

My age is:	Yes, I would	No, I would not	I don't know
75+	73.67%	21.51%	4.82%
65-74	69.47%	25.53%	5.01%
55-64	61.76%	31.51%	6.72%
18-24	57.14%	32.14%	10.71%
45-54	55.86%	39.75%	4.39%
Null	55.37%	29.50%	6.05%
25-34	51.92%	38.46%	9.62%
35-44	51.22%	37.40%	11.38%

Table 3: Age of support for the 4.4% precept increase

Of the participants who took part 81.44% identify themselves as White British. Of those who are White British 66.42% support the increase proposed. Other ethnic groups supporting the increase are those who identify as any other White background (59.42%), any other mixed background (57.14%) and any other Black background (100%) to name a few.

We also asked participants "If the increased funding is raised through the policing precept, which of the following areas [PCCs 9 priorities] would you like to see investment in" and the agreement level to the identified priority area:

We can see that the top three priority areas for participants:

- Zero tolerance on knife crime-64.47%
- Improved police visibility- 56.03%
- 600 more police officers by 2023-52.25%

When looking at districts we see variance in the priorities, for example there are highs of 70.33% in Eastleigh for strongly agreeing that knife crime should see more investment and lows of 16.76% for cracking down on unauthorized encampments. See appendix 2 for full set of data tables.

PCC priority	Strongl	Agree	Neither ag nor disagi	Disagre	Strongl
600 More Police Officers By 2023	52.25%	24.36%	12.61%	1.97%	5.93%
Zero Tolerance Approach On Knife Crime	64.47%		8.15%	1.23%	4.12%
Improve Police Visibility	56.03%	23.62%	10.38%	1.81%	5.03%
Tackle Anti-Social Behaviourl	48.40%	30.47%	11.54%	1.52%	4.27%
Targeting Rural Crime	35.45%	31.12%	22.68%	2.81%	3.99%
Prevent Young People From Committing Crime	40.85%	33.30%	16.39%	1.78%	3.70%
Making It Easier To Report Crime Through 101	29.89%	27.48%	29.60%	3.67%	5.22%
Improved Outcomes For Victims	38.67%	31.65%	19.93%	1.97%	3.62%
Crack Down On Unauthorised Encampments	34.29%	28.76%	23.49%	3.99%	5.11%

Table 4: Agreement level to PCC priorities

Participants were also asked "If increased funding is raised through the council tax precept for policing, which types of crime would you like to see tackled?" and to select all that apply. We can see

those with 70% and over as selected by participants, again seeing knife crime coming out as the top area at 71.87%. Females were more likely to select domestic abuse at 70.27% compared to males at 53.67%.

Knife crime 71.87%
Rape and serious sexual offences/ abuse 71.16%
Violent crimes (including assault and robbery) 70.92%
Drug dealing 70.69%
Theft (including burglary and vehicle crime) 70.58%

Residents were also given the opportunity to identify other crimes they feel need to be tackled. We received

Table 5: crimes to tackle if increased funding is raised

253 responses which included the mention of illegal e-scooter use and other off-road motor use, others spoke of traffic related noise, speeding and enforcement.

Key themes from the open question "What positive improvements would you like to see happen in your local community if policing was to receive increased funding?":

Visibility and accessibility

One of the most common responses we've seen are that participants are keen to see more police officers present in their local community where they can effectively engage with residents and provide a more visible deterrent to crime. It was also felt that more points of contact whether through local police stations or community "surgeries" would make reporting easier and raise confidence as "seeing police is important to trusting police".

Youth related crime and ASB

We've read that participants are concerned about the groups of, often young, people congregating in their local area and engaging in what is believed to be drug taking or



dealing, alcohol and antisocial behaviour. This is seen as negatively impacting how safe local residents feel. Participants indicated that they would like to see more youth engagement, focussing on "early intervention with first time young offenders" as well as more police involvement with educational settings to reinforce a positive image of policing from a young age.

Traffic and road safety

We also saw many comments relating to road safety. There were calls for more enforced speed limits and the use of acoustic cameras as well as "tackling dangerous driving" and excessive, antisocial traffic noise in residential areas. E-scooters were also heavily featured where users do not use them in the proper way, endangering other road or path users.

Reporting

Another area participant's raised concern was over reporting a crime. We heard the need for faster response times to crimes reported and many wished for more follow-ups after they had made a report. The 101 service was also highlighted as needing much improvement, along with the online reporting which could be "more inclusive and easier to navigate".

Focus groups

While survey data (quantitative) is important to analyse, it is equally important to hear the narrative (qualitative) aspect of people's views too. Our focus groups this year mirrored those of last year's budget consultation due to the current restrictions and recommendations around face to face

contact because of Covid-19. We again opted for a virtual approach to meet our residents, these were participants who volunteered to share their thoughts and views on the precept.

We had four focus group sessions open to the public covering the morning, afternoon, evening and weekend availability to ensure we maximise the opportunity for residents to attend. We also ran a focus group with the OPCC's Focus Group and Consultation Panel¹² and the OPCC's Older Person's Reference Group. Additionally we organised a focus group for those from, and/or those who represent different communities across Hampshire, and those from minority ethnic backgrounds to come together to share their views.

In total we ran 6 focus groups over 4 days with a total of 26 participants taking part, we had positive interest and engagement in our focus groups with all of our four public sessions at full capacity with waiting lists.

Key themes which emerged from across our focus groups are:

- The majority of participant's support the 4.4% increase to the precept, however there was reservation from some on how families who are already struggling financially will manage with this increase, alongside other increases that are coming in 2022.
- Most participants spoke of feeling safe in their local community. Discussions emerged around when a low crime area does experience crime it can feel "more dramatic when it does occur".
- Many participants spoke of having confidence in police officers, and empathy for the challenges facing them, "the police are struggling in very difficult circumstances to do a very difficult job".
- The groups brought up the theme of the perception of crime versus actual crime taking place and how this can impact how safe residents feel. Discussion developed around the fear of dog thefts over the summer (2021) and how there were actually very few reports to police. Impact of social media inciting fear.
- In nearly all of our focus groups we heard the illegal use of e-scooters posing a danger to pedestrians, and the lack of policing around this causing much anger and frustration. We also heard how speeding and road safety is not tackled leaving residents in fear.
- Many participants discussed the lack of a policing response to lower level crimes. Frustration at the poor service received when reporting such crimes and the long term impact this has both upon confidence in policing and feeling safe locally. Other spoke of not reporting low level crime due to feeling "nothing happens when you report anyway".
- Participants wanted to know what they were getting for their money, what does the precept increase get them "does it buy me a police dog?" Participants echoed the sentiment of wanting to hear progress on the precept promises made by the PCC and the Chief Constable.
- When participants were asked what they want to see from their local policing teams, overwhelmingly we heard that they want a visible policing presence in their local communities, policing which is embedding in the community. We heard that policing needs to target low level crimes like shoplifting, vandalism etc.
- Discussion around the poor 101 system. One group spoke of being happy to see that the PCC is addressing this. Many spoke of not receiving any feedback to reporting a crime or intelligence, this again fuels apathy.
- Participants were questioning why Hampshire is so poorly funded from central government compared to other forces. There was a level of apathy across some participants who

¹² Consultations - Hampshire Police and Crime Commissioner (hampshire-pcc.gov.uk)

questioned the year on year precept increase and the lack of communication around how it's been spent over recent years.

Appendix 1: YouGov data tables

Participants by district:

Basingstoke and Deane	10.05%
East Hampshire	10.81%
Eastleigh	9.38%
Fareham	9.41%
Gosport	4.32%
Hart	7.60%
Havant	8.30%
Isle of Wight	1.78%
New Forest	10.94%
Portsmouth	2.77%
Rushmoor	5.56%
Southampton	2.79%
Test Valley	8.98%
Winchester	7.31%

Participants by age:

18-24	11.95%
25-34	15.36%
35-44	15.85%
45-54	18.07%
55+	38.77%

Participants by gender:

emale	51.1
lale	48.8

Participants by ethnicity:

English / Welsh / Scottish	92.92%
Any other White backgrou	2.51%
African	0.99%
Prefer not to say	0.66%
Irish	0.50%
Any other Mixed / Multipl	0.47%
Any other Asian backgrou	0.43%
Any other ethnic group	0.33%
White and Black Caribbean	0.33%
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	0.30%
Indian	0.27%
White and Asian	0.21%
Any other Black / African /	0.04%
Chinese	0.04%

By district: willingness to pay the 4.4% precept increase

	Yes, I would =	No, I would not	Don't know
Gosport	83.64%	16.36%	0.00%
Fareham	66.82%	28.24%	4.94%
Eastleigh	64.72%	25.19%	10.10%
Havant	64.42%	26.79%	8.79%
Test Valley	64.38%	24.48%	11.14%
Basingstoke and Deane	64.24%	23.30%	12.45%
New Forest	62.04%	26.22%	11.74%
All	60.96%	27.60%	11.44%
Portsmouth	60.55%	20.51%	18.94%
East Hampshire	60.02%	26.36%	13.62%
Winchester	56.20%	26.08%	17.71%
Rushmoor	50.60%	44.91%	4.49%
Isle of Wight	49.93%	28.04%	22.03%
Hart	45.22%	37.65%	17.13%
Southampton	43.33%	37.82%	18.86%

By district: crimes to tackle

	Basingstoke and Deane	East Hampshire	Eastleigh	Fareham	Gosport	Hart	Havant	Isle of Wight	New Forest	Portsmouth	Rushmoor	Southampton	Test Valley	Winchester	All
Rape and serious sexual	70.49%	59.21%	55.27%	54.75%	40.28%	73.95%	58.55%	61.55%	67.10%	61.83%	48.66%	65.42%	45.32%	63.66%	59.44%
Violent crimes (includin	61.45%	48.53%	57.64%	51.78%	68.61%	59.10%	55.34%	49.40%	49.51%	58.25%	40.23%	61.80%	49.29%	74.68%	55.51%
Theft (including burglar	55.60%	54.74%	62.12%	59.72%	51.24%	59.04%	49.95%	34.17%	68.55%	57.63%	58.25%	66.22%	45.43%	59.90%	57.05%
Knife crime	63.64%	41.44%	55.00%	49.57%	52.42%	65.90%	50.55%	40.91%	54.28%	58.31%	48.99%	55.99%	35.84%	54.10%	51.91%
Drug dealing	61.07%	50.91%	53.09%	52.10%	63.21%	62.13%	42.21%	64.96%	49.23%	61.95%	52.22%		37.07%	30.68%	50.47%
Anti-social behaviour: cr	43.25%	48.65%	55.28%	49.65%	61.89%	58.66%	59.13%	58.81%	53.48%	46.27%	53.48%	42.56%	43.30%	45.72%	51.07%
Anti-social behaviour: dr	64.27%	53.77%	52.79%	44.34%	72.85%	68.61%	38.90%	57.60%	47.00%	55.36%	49.80%	47.41%	29.69%	36.78%	50.13%
Crimes against those wh	70.41%	59.95%	46.82%	40.92%	53.00%	56.78%	50.13%	45.88%	54.58%	43.38%	39.52%	53.58%	41.91%	53.85%	51.95%
Child sexual exploitation	62.07%	44.48%	51.95%	51.93%	46.86%	68.58%	47.32%	43.53%	46.90%	49.87%	35.58%	57.18%	30.08%	53.38%	49.43%
Anti-social behaviour: dr	45.47%	48.49%	54.98%	51.20%	61.89%	55.61%	38.91%	63.62%	52.08%	52.06%	41.51%	42.68%	34.03%	41.05%	47.74%
Domestic abuse	56.99%	53.31%	45.34%	37.90%	41.61%	60.07%	44.81%	52.57%	47.57%	51.96%	40.07%	51.70%	28.71%	41.87%	46.28%
Anti-social behaviour: gr	51.06%	50.05%	59.92%	45.93%	36.64%	55.59%	39.08%	42.06%	45.05%	48.87%	33.03%	32.99%	39.51%	19.05%	44.26%
Fraud and scams	50.57%	38.56%	46.79%	42.28%	36.04%	55.41%	38.53%		42.57%	44.41%	31.25%	44.13%	30.53%	45.02%	42.18%
Modern slavery/ human	61.15%	35.93%	37.59%	41.56%	40.86%	47.13%	39.22%	38.97%		46.68%	27.35%	48.21%	31.49%	44.74%	41.48%
Hate crime (i.e. a crime	46.74%	46.96%	37.38%	30.57%	42.93%	39.68%	42.00%	36.60%	35.24%	47.93%	36.11%	51.34%	25.81%	50.14%	39.77%
Animal abuse	45.08%	46.86%	46.72%	34.91%	47.60%	38.99%	42.04%	41.10%	36.95%	36.76%	36.33%	36.15%	33.39%	41.66%	40.64%
Cyber crime (i.e. crimes	40.35%	35.71%	44.34%	47.73%	15.03%	41.75%	36.11%	26.40%	28.40%	34.46%	27.35%	42.17%	22.11%	43.32%	35.77%
Rural crime (i.e. incident	45.30%	34.55%	24.99%		41.61%	50.88%	27.60%	28.75%		20.21%	19.11%	20.85%	35.97%	47.76%	36.00%
Road safety (e.g. speedi	34.54%	29.20%	27.77%	29.85%	20.43%	47.15%	19.11%	38.82%	35.49%	38.49%	19.28%	34.99%	27.95%	25.69%	30.17%
Business crime (i.e. any	24.77%	22.79%	22.68%	22.70%	20.43%	22.99%	15.70%	19.25%	25.93%	31.84%	28.10%	27.41%	17.89%	21.68%	22.73%
Other	2.48%	6.01%	4.75%	2.21%	10.22%	5.48%	5.01%	11.59%	4.03%	2.95%	8.43%	1.67%	5.20%	6.80%	5.04%
Don't know	6.78%	2.40%	5.24%	12.35%	0.00%	0.00%	5.01%	9.64%	3.17%	2.19%	12.39%	11.19%	2.32%	0.00%	4.80%
Not applicable - there ar	0.00%	2.16%	2.48%	2.21%	5.40%	5.48%	5.62%	0.00%	0.00%	1.47%	8.01%	3.16%	2.32%	2.85%	2.78%
Prefer not to say	2.07%	0.00%	0.00%	2.82%	0.00%	0.00%	2.79%	0.00%	0.00%	1.32%	0.00%	3.12%	0.00%	4.74%	1.17%

Age/Gender: willingness to support the 4.4% precept increase

	Female	Female	Female	Female	Female	Male	Male	Male	Male		
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55+	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	Male 55+	AII
Yes, I would	20.36%	65.56%	73.88%	69.21%	73.19%	50.50%	48.41%	50.09%	60.28%	62.08%	60.98%
No, I would not	43.92%	21.30%	18.33%	24.13%	15.66%	28.03%	37.20%	36.49%	35.06%	32.73%	27.59%
Don't know	35.71%	13.14%	7.79%	6.65%	11.15%	21.47%	14.39%	13.42%	4.66%	5.19%	11.43%

Age/Gender: crimes to tackle

Types of crime want to see tackled	Female 18-24	Female 25-34	Female 35-44	Female 45-54	Female 55+	Male 18-24	Male 25-34	Male 35-44	Male 45-54	Male 55+	AII
Animal abuse	46.81%	39.43%	51.08%	45.93%	47.71%	53.28%	25.04%	23.22%	24.52%	41.57%	40.62%
Anti-social behaviour: criminal damage (34.65%	41.92%	52.82%	62.07%	51.55%	37.38%	43.56%	57.73%	48.63%	59.18%	51.05%
Anti-social behaviour: drug taking beha	36.78%	48.49%	55.56%	72.05%	44.78%	45.33%	53.10%	48.98%	37.39%	54.79%	50.11%
Anti-social behaviour: drunken behaviou	47.87%	31.42%	47.93%	60.23%	41.00%	51.89%	44.12%	58.23%	55.62%	47.50%	47.76%
Anti-social behaviour: groups of people	32.52%	41.92%	52.82%	65.89%	40.01%	18.69%	39.27%	49.52%	50.96%	44.46%	44.29%
Business crime (i.e. any crime affecting	34.65%	10.65%	11.28%	26.11%	24.89%	26.64%	11.76%	23.22%	16.72%	30.84%	22.72%
Child sexual exploitation	51.06%	52.04%	27.28%	61.57%	56.41%	49.50%	40.94%	49.01%	43.56%	50.20%	49.45%
Crimes against those who might be vuln	48.94%	61.63%	55.56%	64.40%	56.01%	53.28%	38.31%	37.18%	48.22%	50.00%	51.97%
Cyber crime (i.e. crimes carried out onlin	40.73%	23.26%	36.65%	41.26%	34.57%	51.89%	23.93%	33.55%	28.77%	41.37%	35.75%
Domestic abuse	46.05%	54.53%	50.08%	54.92%	49.63%	54.67%	38.31%		40.83%	39.13%	46.30%
Don't know	8.21%	9.59%	3.32%	6.16%	4.91%	1.39%	1.67%	3.63%	6.99%	3.44%	4.80%
Drug dealing	36.78%	41.92%	50.08%	71.55%	53.22%	48.11%	40.94%	45.93%	47.12%	53.10%	50.45%
Fraud and scams	43.92%	30.89%	33.33%	40.27%	55.28%	38.77%	35.14%	37.95%	31.92%	47.50%	42.20%
Hate crime (i.e. a crime motivated by rac	65.35%	51.51%	35.07%	40.27%	40.41%	30.82%	47.30%	35.56%	29.18%	35.08%	39.79%
Knife crime	57.14%	41.92%	36.24%	72.89%	59.79%	49.50%	44.67%	46.47%	43.16%	52.50%	51.93%
Modern slavery/ human trafficking	43.92%	39.43%	30.60%	49.75%	44.53%	49.50%	40.38%	30.50%	38.91%	42.71%	41.50%
Not applicable - there are no crimes in p	0.00%	0.00%	0.58%	0.00%	5.84%	0.00%	3.74%	0.00%	6.99%	3.44%	2.78%
Other	0.00%	3.02%	1.16%	3.33%	3.39%	9.34%	6.36%	3.09%	2.74%	11.88%	5.03%
Prefer not to say	6.08%	3.02%	3.32%	0.00%	0.00%	1.39%	0.00%	0.00%	2.74%	0.00%	1.17%
Rape and serious sexual offences/ abuse	65.35%	73.72%	61.19%	73.39%	61.31%	57.46%	60.57%	43.38%	48.22%	54.65%	59.46%
Road safety (e.g. speeding, road noise)	41.79%	17.75%	32.92%	49.26%	25.54%	42.54%	22.42%	17.59%	24.11%	33.88%	30.20%
Rural crime (i.e. incidents that occur in $r.$.	33.59%	19.18%	32.75%	45.44%	46.92%	33.20%	23.93%	25.76%	28.77%	42.11%	35.99%
Theft (including burglary and vehicle cri	42.86%	48.49%	49.50%	74.38%	51.89%	57.46%	63.75%	56.80%	57.95%	62.22%	57.03%
Violent crimes (including assault and ro	55.02%	58.08%	49.50%	79.05%	57.93%	49.50%	50.48%	40.84%	55.62%	53.10%	55.48%

Ethnicity: willingness to support the 4.4% precept increase

Pivot Field Names	Yes, I would	No, I would not	Don't know
English / Welsh / Scottish	62.24%	26.71%	11.04%
Any other Mixed / Multipl	49.51%	0.00%	50.49%
Any other White backgrou	54.14%	27.29%	18.58%
White and Black Caribbean	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
Prefer not to say	0.00%	40.68%	59.32%
Irish	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
Gypsy or Irish Traveller	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
Chinese	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%
White and Asian	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Indian	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%
African	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Any other Black / African /	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Any other ethnic group	0.00%	89.01%	10.99%
Any other Asian backgrou	9.70%	80.86%	9.44%
All	60.98%	27.59%	11.43%

Ethnicity: crimes to tackle

Types of crime want to see tackled	African	Any other Asian background	Any other Black/ African/Caribbean background	Any other ethnic group	Any other Mixed / Multiple ethnic background	Any other White background	Chinese	English/Welsh/ Scottish/Northern Irish/British	Gypsy or Irish Traveller	Indian	Irish	Prefer not to say	White and Asian	White and Black Caribbean	All
Theft (including b	50.00%	9.44%	0.00%	86.34%	100.00%	69.31%	0.00%		79.67%	100.00%	100.00%	31.50%	0.00%	18.62%	57.03%
Rape and serious	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	100.00%	49.51%	48.77%	0.00%	60.68%	79.67%	100.00%	41.36%	40.68%	100.00%	18.62%	59.46%
Violent crimes (in	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	100.00%	49.51%	56.45%	0.00%		79.67%	100.00%	41.36%	40.68%	100.00%	18.62%	55.48%
Knife crime	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	86.34%	100.00%	47.16%	0.00%	52.59%	79.67%	100.00%	0.00%	40.68%	100.00%	18.62%	51.93%
Anti-social behav	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	89.01%	49.51%	52.85%	0.00%	48.22%	79.67%	100.00%	50.36%	40.68%	0.00%	0.00%	47.76%
Anti-social behav	0.00%	9.44%	100.00%	100.00%	49.51%	44.18%	0.00%	51.42%	0.00%	100.00%	50.36%	9.18%	0.00%	0.00%	50.11%
Anti-social behav	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	75.35%	0.00%	52.85%	0.00%	52.13%	0.00%	100.00%		40.68%	100.00%	0.00%	51.05%
Drug dealing	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	100.00%	50.49%	46.02%	0.00%		0.00%	100.00%		40.68%	0.00%	0.00%	50.45%
Hate crime (i.e. a	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	10.99%	0.00%	23.83%	0.00%	40.65%	79.67%	100.00%	41.36%	40.68%	0.00%	100.00%	39.79%
Domestic abuse	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	100.00%	49.51%	50.40%	0.00%	46.94%	79.67%	0.00%	41.36%	40.68%	0.00%	18.62%	46.30%
Animal abuse	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	100.00%	49.51%	48.77%	0.00%	40.77%	79.67%	0.00%	91.00%	31.50%	0.00%	0.00%	40.62%
Crimes against th	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	24.65%	0.00%	43.69%	0.00%	53.55%	0.00%	100.00%	41.36%	31.50%	100.00%	18.62%	51.97%
Cyber crime (i.e. c	100.00%	9.44%	0.00%	75.35%	0.00%	34.73%	0.00%	35.37%	0.00%	100.00%	50.36%	31.50%	0.00%	0.00%	35.75%
Child sexual expl	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	100.00%	49.51%	27.63%	0.00%		79.67%	0.00%	41.36%	40.68%	0.00%	18.62%	49.45%
Anti-social behav	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	75.35%	49.51%	53.47%	0.00%	44.87%	0.00%	100.00%		31.50%	0.00%	0.00%	44.29%
Modern slavery/	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	100.00%	49.51%	27.63%	0.00%	42.43%	79.67%	0.00%	41.36%	40.68%	0.00%	18.62%	41.50%
Rural crime (i.e. i	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	75.35%	49.51%	21.41%	0.00%	36.60%	0.00%	0.00%	9.00%	31.50%	100.00%	0.00%	35.99%
Fraud and scams	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	86.34%	0.00%	44.69%	0.00%	43.05%	79.67%	0.00%	41.36%	40.68%	0.00%	0.00%	42.20%
Business crime (i	0.00%	9.44%	0.00%	10.99%	0.00%	30.70%	0.00%	23.03%	20.33%	0.00%	41.36%	31.50%	0.00%	0.00%	22.72%
Road safety (e.g	0.00%	19.14%	0.00%	75.35%	0.00%	21.41%	0.00%	31.50%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	9.18%	0.00%	0.00%	30.20%
Not applicable - t	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	2.95%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2.78%
Prefer not to say	0.00%	80.86%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.89%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.17%
Don't know	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	4.74%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	59.32%	0.00%	0.00%	4.80%
Other	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	9.22%	0.00%	5.17%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.03%

Appendix 2: Budget survey 2022 data tables

Participants by district:

Basingstoke and Deane New Forest Eastleigh Winchester 7.027% Havant Southampton 6.660% East Hants 6.555% 6.529% Test Valley 6.502% 4.772% Portsmouth Isle of Wight 4.693% Gosport 4.562% Hart 4.326% Rushmoor 3.513% Unknown 11.563%

Participants by age:

18-24	0.73%
25-34	2.73%
35-44	6.45%
45-54	12.53%
55-64	18.72%
65-74	26.19%
75+	15.23%
Unknown	17.33%

Participants by gender:

Male	55.79%
Female	30.34%
Unknown	10.80%
Prefer not to say	2.31%
Prefer to self describe	0.66%
Trans	0.10%

Participants by ethnicity:

White British	81.44%
Unknown	11.51%
Other: Please state	2.81%
Any other white background	1.81%
White Irish	0.73%
Any other mixed background	0.37%
White & Asian	0.29%
Asian - Indian	0.29%
Any other ethnic group	0.16%
Any other Asian background	0.16%
Any other Black background	0.10%
Black Caribbean	0.08%
Asian - Pakistani	0.08%
White & Black Caribbean	0.05%
Chinese	0.05%
White & Black African	0.03%
Gypsy Roma Traveller	0.03%
Black African	0.03%

District: willingness to support the 4.4% precept increase

	Yes, I would	No, I would not	l don't know
Hart	72.12%	20.61%	7.27%
East Hants	68.40%	26.40%	5.20%
Portsmouth	68.13%	25.82%	6.04%
Winchester	68.06%	23.61%	8.33%
Fareham	67.47%	28.92%	3.61%
Havant	65.67%	28.36%	5.97%
Basingstoke and Deane	64.55%	29.97%	5.48%
Test Valley	64.52%	29.44%	6.05%
New Forest	63.88%	28.06%	8.06%
Eastleigh	62.67%	31.67%	5.67%
Southampton	62.60%	30.71%	6.69%
Gosport	61.49%	32.76%	5.75%
Unknown	58.27%	35.17%	6.56%
Rushmoor	57.46%	39.55%	2.99%
Isle of Wight	48.60%	46.37%	5.03%

District: crimes to tackle

	Basingstoke and Deane	East Hants	Eastleigh	Fareham	Gosport	Hart	Havant	Isle of Wight	New Forest	Portsmouth	Rushmoor	Southampton	Test Valley	Winchester	Unknown
Knife crime	72.33%	68.40%	80.33%	77.51%	74.14%	76.36%	80.22%	62.57%	74.03%	72.53%	74.63%	77.95%	75.81%	64.58%	56.92%
Rape and serious sexual of	68.59%	68.40%	77.67%	77.11%	75.29%	72.73%	76.49%	62.57%	69.85%	75.27%	79.10%	78.74%	73.39%	70.14%	56.92%
Violent crimes (including a	70.61%	68.00%	79.67%	73.90%	71.26%	76.36%	82.46%	61.45%	72.84%	73.08%	80.60%	79.53%	73.79%	65.97%	51.25%
Drug dealing	73.20%	73.20%	75.33%	76.71%	74.71%	75.76%	74.63%	69.27%	72.54%	75.82%	69.40%	72.83%	70.56%	66.32%	53.97%
Theft (including burglary a	71.18%	72.80%	75.33%	72.29%	68.39%	72.12%	75.75%	60.89%	76.12%	67.03%	77.61%	79.53%	73.79%	70.14%	54.20%
Child sexual exploitation	64.27%	66.00%	66.33%	67.87%	68.39%	69.70%	73.88%	58.10%	63.88%	69.23%	70.15%	72.83%	69.35%	63.19%	49.43%
Crimes against those who	66.57%	65.20%	69.67%	63.86%	68.97%	67.27%	73.88%	56.98%	60.30%	63.19%	69.40%	70.08%	63.31%	63.54%	49.89%
Anti-social behaviour: crim	62.25%	64.00%	69.33%	67.07%	67.24%	60.61%	71.27%	60.34%	62.69%	66.48%	61.94%	72.05%	68.95%	56.25%	45.35%
Anti-social behaviour: dru	61.38%	61.20%	66.00%	64.26%	68.39%	60.00%	65.67%	65.36%	57.31%		66.42%	64.57%	58.87%	54.86%	43.99%
Fraud and scams	59.94%	61.20%	63.00%	62.65%	63.22%	58.18%	63.06%	52.51%	55.52%	57.69%	60.45%	66.54%	61.29%	54.17%	47.39%
Domestic abuse	60.23%	57.20%	60.00%	57.03%	57.47%	59.39%	61.19%	52.51%	55.22%	64.29%	66.42%	64.17%	60.08%	54.17%	40.36%
Anti-social behaviour: dru	52.74%	48.00%	58.00%	61.45%	62.64%	48.48%	61.57%	59.22%	49.85%	63.74%	60.45%	62.20%	51.21%	46.53%	39.46%
Modern slavery/ human tr	51.01%	49.20%	54.67%	51.81%	50.00%	55.76%	55.60%	39.11%	48.06%	53.85%	54.48%	58.66%	47.18%	50.00%	36.73%
Rural crime (i.e. incidents	59.94%	62.40%	46.67%	43.78%	39.08%	44.24%	47.39%	50.84%	63.88%	39.01%	42.54%	44.09%	58.87%	62.85%	39.68%
Anti-social behaviour: gro	44.67%	44.80%	51.33%	55.82%	58.05%	44.85%	60.07%	44.13%	46.87%	57.14%	52.24%	55.51%	43.95%	38.19%	37.19%
Hate crime (i.e. a crime mo	47.55%	46.00%	54.00%	48.59%	51.72%	48.48%	53.36%	39.66%	45.37%	54.40%	57.46%	57.09%	48.39%	45.14%	34.69%
Animal abuse	50.43%	46.80%	50.67%	52.61%	45.98%	49.09%	56.72%	45.81%	52.54%	50.55%	51.49%	53.54%	44.35%	42.71%	34.69%
Cyber crime (i.e. crimes ca	48.70%	52.80%	53.33%	51.81%	45.98%	41.82%	59.33%	37.99%	45.37%	48.90%	55.22%	54.72%	45.16%	46.53%	36.28%
Road safety (e.g. speeding	49.28%	54.80%	46.33%	49.00%	48.28%	38.79%	48.13%	54.75%	47.16%	41.76%	47.01%	53.94%	43.15%	53.82%	35.37%
Business crime (i.e. any cri	36.89%	32.80%	39.33%	36.55%	35.63%	30.91%	42.16%	35.20%	38.21%	41.76%	38.06%	43.31%	39.52%	30.90%	28.12%
Other - please specify	7.20%	6.80%	5.33%	5.22%	10.92%	6.06%	7.84%	13.97%	7.76%	13.19%	5.22%	10.63%	4.84%	7.99%	7.94%
Not applicable - no crime i	2.59%	2.40%	1.33%	4.02%	3.45%	4.24%	3.73%	3.91%	0.60%	3.30%	3.73%	1.97%	2.42%	2.08%	2.04%
Prefer not to say	0.86%	0.80%	2.00%	1.20%	1.72%	0.61%	1.12%	1.68%	0.60%	3.30%	2.24%	1.97%	2.02%	1.04%	2.27%
Don't know	1.15%	0.40%	0.33%	1.20%	1.15%	0.61%	1.12%	2.23%	0.30%	1.10%	2.24%	0.79%	1.21%	1.04%	2.04%

Age/Gender: willingness to support the 4.4% precept increase

		Female	Male	Null & Prefer not to say	Prefer to self describe: Write In	Trans
Yes, I would	Unknown	4.15%	4.98%	41.80%	12.00%	
	18-24	0.43%	0.52%			
	25-34	2.16%	1.27%	0.40%		
	35-44	5.10%	3.10%	0.20%		
	45-54	11.93%	5.83%	1.00%		
	55-64	16.59%	11.51%	0.60%		25.00%
	65-74	20.92%	21.01%	0.80%	4.00%	
	75+	9.42%	14.80%	0.20%	12.00%	
No, I would	Unknown	1.12%	2.30%	26.60%		
not	15-17		0.09%	0.20%		
	18-24	0.35%	0.23%			
	25-34	0.78%	1.22%	0.80%	4.00%	
	35-44	2.68%	2.40%	1.60%	8.00%	
	45-54	5.70%	5.22%	1.80%	8.00%	50.00%
	55-64	4.93%	7.10%	2.80%	12.00%	
	65-74	5.27%	8.36%	2.40%	12.00%	25.00%
	75+	1.12%	5.17%	0.20%	4.00%	
I don't know	Unknown	0.61%	0.42%	4.60%	4.00%	
	18-24		0.09%	0.20%		
	25-34	0.69%	0.05%	0.20%		
	35-44	1.38%	0.38%	0.40%	8.00%	
	45-54	0.69%	0.56%	0.20%		
	55-64	1.99%	1.03%	0.40%	4.00%	
	65-74	1.38%	1.41%	0.60%	4.00%	
	75+	0.61%	0.94%		4.00%	

Age/Gender: crimes to tackle

				Fen	nale			Male									
	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75+	Unkno	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75+	Unkno	
Violent crimes (including assau.	88.89%	57.14%	69.81%	73.11%	79.78%	78.68%	81.40%	73.53%	72.22%	53.70%	69.60%	67.21%	71.05%	73.13%	76.18%	76.22%	
Knife crime	77.78%	59.52%	72.64%	68.87%	77.21%	79.94%	83.72%	76.47%	83.33%	51.85%	70.40%	65.18%	66.51%	75.88%	82.25%	75.61%	
Rape and serious sexual offenc	88.89%	69.05%	84.91%	78.77%	80.15%		80.62%	75.00%	83.33%	61.11%	74.40%	61.13%	66.03%	72.06%	71.46%	75.61%	
Drug dealing	22.22%	50.00%	62.26%	69.34%	76.47%	78.37%	89.15%	76.47%	55.56%	48.15%	55.20%	65.59%	69.86%	76.18%	80.67%	77.44%	
Theft (including burglary and v	66.67%	57.14%	66.04%	76.42%	75.37%	76.80%	74.42%	67.65%	77.78%	61.11%	71.20%	69.64%		73.74%	74.61%	70.73%	
Child sexual exploitation	77.78%	69.05%	78.30%	66.04%	74.63%	76.18%	78.29%	75.00%	61.11%	42.59%	58.40%	53.85%	57.18%	70.23%	68.76%	71.34%	
Anti-social behaviour: criminal	44.44%	47.62%	51.89%	59.43%	61.76%	72.10%	78.29%	64.71%	55.56%	40.74%	53.60%	55.06%	63.64%	71.15%	73.48%	64.02%	
Domestic abuse	66.67%	61.90%	78.30%	67.45%	70.96%	70.53%	68.99%	70.59%	66.67%	33.33%	52.00%	47.37%	52.63%	54.20%	57.98%	59.15%	
Crimes against those who mig	66.67%	59.52%	74.53%	66.98%	77.21%	74.92%	79.07%	75.00%	61.11%	44.44%	56.00%	53.44%		67.63%		62.80%	
Fraud and scams	33.33%	33.33%	54.72%	48.11%	67.28%	72.73%	76.74%	57.35%	55.56%	31.48%	35.20%	44.13%	54.07%	68.24%	68.76%	60.98%	
Anti-social behaviour: drug tak	33.33%	47.62%	58.49%	62.26%	65.81%	70.85%	75.97%	77.94%	50.00%	50.00%	47.20%	53.85%		63.21%	64.72%	66.46%	
Animal abuse	44.44%	47.62%	47.17%	59.43%	63.97%	66.14%	68.99%	58.82%	61.11%	31.48%	36.80%	36.84%	39.71%	45.50%	48.54%	49.39%	
Road safety (e.g. speeding, roa	22.22%	35.71%	38.68%	48.58%	54.04%	55.80%	63.57%	52.94%	33.33%	42.59%	39.20%	42.51%	44.02%	51.76%	48.09%	50.61%	
Anti-social behaviour: drunken	44.44%	40.48%	51.89%	52.36%	57.35%	65.83%	68.22%	60.29%	22.22%	29.63%	44.00%	45.34%	52.87%	60.61%	59.55%	50.61%	
Modern slavery/ human traffic	44.44%	40.48%	56.60%	53.77%	61.40%	62.07%	68.99%	58.82%	44.44%	29.63%	43.20%	37.25%	45.22%	50.69%	52.13%	48.78%	
Rural crime (i.e. incidents that	44.44%	35.71%	36.79%	44.34%	61.03%	63.95%	83.72%	50.00%	27.78%	38.89%	36.80%	43.72%	46.89%	53.13%	53.71%	51.83%	
Hate crime (i.e. a crime motiva	55.56%	38.10%	61.32%	54.25%	60.29%	61.44%	62.79%	64.71%	38.89%	37.04%	45.60%	42.51%	41.15%	46.11%	50.79%	42.68%	
Anti-social behaviour: groups o	22.22%	33.33%	45.28%	45.28%	52.21%	58.62%	59.69%	54.41%	16.67%	25.93%	45.60%	41.30%	43.78%	55.57%	48.76%	50.00%	
Cyber crime (i.e. crimes carried	22.22%	28.57%	50.94%	46.23%	58.82%	58.31%	63.57%	47.06%	38.89%	12.96%	27.20%	38.06%	44.74%	52.82%	58.43%	46.34%	
Business crime (i.e. any crime	33.33%	21.43%	26.42%	31.13%	45.96%	42.95%	49.61%	38.24%	61.11%	20.37%	29.60%	28.34%	35.89%	38.93%	41.35%	37.20%	
Other - please specify			9.43%	3.77%	6.99%	7.21%	7.75%	7.35%	16.67%	5.56%	4.80%	7.29%	6.94%	9.31%	8.99%	11.59%	
Prefer not to say				0.47%	1.10%	0.63%	2.33%	2.94%	5.56%	3.70%	1.60%	1.62%	0.96%	0.76%	2.47%	0.61%	
Don't know		2.38%		0.47%	0.37%	0.94%	3.10%	1.47%				0.81%	0.72%	1.22%	0.90%	1.22%	
Not applicable - no crime in par			0.94%	1.42%	0.74%	2.51%	3.88%	2.94%		7.41%	3.20%	5.26%	2.39%	1.53%	4.04%	3.66%	

Ethnicity: willingness to support the 4.4% precept increase

	Yes, I would	No, I would not	l don't know
White British	66.42%	27.78%	5.80%
Unknown	50.34%	30.30%	5.69%
Other: Please state	25.23%	66.36%	8.41%
Any other white backgrou	59.42%	33.33%	7.25%
White Irish	39.29%	50.00%	10.71%
Any other mixed backgrou	57.14%	35.71%	7.14%
White & Asian	45.45%	36.36%	18.18%
Asian - Indian	36.36%	45.45%	18.18%
Any other ethnic group	33.33%	66.67%	
Any other Asian backgrou	33.33%	66.67%	
Any other Black backgrou	100.00%		
Black Caribbean	66.67%	33.33%	
Asian - Pakistani	33.33%	66.67%	
White & Black Caribbean		100.00%	
Chinese	50.00%	50.00%	
White & Black African		100.00%	
Gypsy Roma Traveller			100.00%
Black African		100.00%	

Ethnicity: crimes to tackle

	White British	Any other Asian background	Any other Black background	Any other ethnic group	Any other mixed background	Any other white background	Asian - Indian	Asian - Pakistani	Black African	Black Caribbean	Chinese	Gypsy Roma Traveller	Other: Please state	White & Asian	Unknown	White & Black African	White & Black Caribbean	White Irish
Knife crime	74.69%	16.67%	100.00%	66.67%	57.14%	73.91%	81.82%	66.67%		100.00%	100.00%		57.94%	90.91%	56.49%	100.00%	100.00%	50.00%
Rape and serio	73.50%	50.00%	25.00%	33.33%	64.29%	78.26%	81.82%	100.00%		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	57.01%	81.82%	57.18%	100.00%	50.00%	75.00%
Violent crimes	73.82%	33.33%	50.00%	50.00%	64.29%	73.91%	81.82%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	50.00%		68.22%	90.91%	51.25%	100.00%	50.00%	64.29%
Drug dealing	73.76%	66.67%	100.00%	33.33%	42.86%	56.52%	90.91%	100.00%		100.00%	100.00%		63.55%	63.64%	54.44%	100.00%	100.00%	53.57%
Theft (includin	73.08%	50.00%	75.00%	66.67%	64.29%	71.01%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%		61.68%	100.00%	55.13%		100.00%	46.43%
Child sexual ex	67.64%	16.67%	50.00%	16.67%	64.29%	66.67%	63.64%	66.67%		100.00%	50.00%	100.00%	57.94%	72.73%	49.43%	100.00%	50.00%	71.43%
Crimes against	66.81%	33.33%	75.00%	16.67%	57.14%	65.22%	54.55%	100.00%		100.00%	50.00%	100.00%	50.47%	36.36%	49.66%	100.00%	50.00%	53.57%
Anti-social beh	65.94%	50.00%	25.00%	50.00%	57.14%	59.42%	45.45%	66.67%	100.00%	66.67%	50.00%		56.07%	63.64%	45.79%	100.00%	100.00%	39.29%
Anti-social beh	63.62%	66.67%	50.00%	66.67%	57.14%	52.17%	45.45%	66.67%		66.67%	50.00%		54.21%	54.55%	44.42%	100.00%	50.00%	42.86%
Fraud and scams	60.40%	50.00%	50.00%	16.67%	35.71%	59.42%	72.73%	100.00%		66.67%	50.00%	100.00%	56.07%	72.73%	46.70%	100.00%	50.00%	53.57%
Domestic abuse	59.50%	50.00%	50.00%	33.33%	57.14%	62.32%	36.36%	100.00%		100.00%	50.00%	100.00%	45.79%	63.64%	40.32%	100.00%		53.57%
Anti-social beh	56.25%	33.33%	75.00%	66.67%	57.14%	47.83%	45.45%	33.33%		66.67%	50.00%		53.27%	54.55%	38.72%			28.57%
Rural crime (i.e	52.67%	16.67%	25.00%	16.67%	42.86%	47.83%	36.36%	66.67%		100.00%	50.00%	100.00%	48.60%	45.45%	39.18%	100.00%	50.00%	28.57%
Modern slaver	51.58%	50.00%	25.00%	16.67%	35.71%	59.42%	45.45%	66.67%		100.00%	50.00%	100.00%	45.79%	45.45%	37.13%	100.00%	50.00%	39.29%
Anti-social beh	50.00%	33.33%		50.00%	28.57%	47.83%	18.18%	33.33%		66.67%			50.47%	72.73%	36.45%		50.00%	25.00%
Animal abuse	50.16%	16.67%		16.67%	35.71%	52.17%	36.36%	100.00%		66.67%	50.00%	100.00%	42.99%	54.55%	34.85%	100.00%	50.00%	35.71%
Cyber crime (i	49.84%	66.67%	25.00%	33.33%	35.71%	46.38%	36.36%	66.67%		66.67%	50.00%	100.00%	42.99%	54.55%	35.99%		50.00%	46.43%
Hate crime (i.e	50.16%	16.67%	50.00%	50.00%	35.71%	57.97%	54.55%	66.67%		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	28.97%	45.45%	34.40%	100.00%	50.00%	39.29%
Road safety (e	49.07%	33.33%	50.00%		42.86%	46.38%	63.64%	33.33%		66.67%	50.00%		39.25%	63.64%	35.76%	100.00%	50.00%	39.29%
Business crime	37.96%	16.67%			21.43%	36.23%	45.45%	66.67%		100.00%			34.58%	45.45%	26.88%		50.00%	17.86%
Other - please s	7.76%			16.67%	7.14%	5.80%							13.08%		8.43%			7.14%
Not applicable	2.54%			16.67%	7.14%	4.35%	9.09%						5.61%		1.59%			
Prefer not to say	1.32%	16.67%				2.90%							5.61%		1.82%			
Don't know	0.87%					1.45%							2.80%		2.51%			

PCC priority: agreement level

PCC priority	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
600 More Police Officers By 2023	52.25%	24.36%	12.61%	1.97%	5.93%
Zero Tolerance Approach On Knife Crime	64.47%	18.93%	8.15%	1.23%	4.12%
Improve Police Visibility	56.03%	23.62%	10.38%	1.81%	5.03%
Tackle Anti-Social Behaviourl	48.40%	30.47%	11.54%	1.52%	4.27%
Targeting Rural Crime	35.45%	31.12%	22.68%	2.81%	3.99%
Prevent Young People From Committing Crime	40.85%	33.30%	16.39%	1.78%	3.70%
Making It Easier To Report Crime Through 101	29.89%	27.48%	29.60%	3.67%	5.22%
Improved Outcomes For Victims	38.67%	31.65%	19.93%	1.97%	3.62%
Crack Down On Unauthorised Encampments	34.29%	28.76%	23.49%	3.99%	5.11%

PCC priority: by age

feed by the feed of ficers By 2023 Zero Tolerance Approach On Knife Crime Improve Police Visibility Visibility Prevent Young	Improved Outcomes 8-8-8 For Victims 7-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8	Crack Down On Crack Down On Unauthorised Moor Encampments
Agreement 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	44.31%	25.00%
	44.31%	
in, age is	44.31%	
30 400/ 40 000/ 40 100/ 32 000/ 33 000/ 35 000/ 30 100/	44.31%	
Agree 25-34 35.46% 48.08% 46.15% 32.65% 33.65% 35.36% 20.15% 35.44 46.34% 59.76% 55.28% 45.93% 29.27% 43.09% 30.49%		28.86%
45-54 43.93% 59.00% 53.14% 47.91% 32.01% 39.96% 26.36%	39.75%	25.73%
55-64 54.06% 63.03% 58.96% 54.90% 37.25% 40.76% 32.07%	39.64%	37.25%
65-74 57.86% 70.27% 61.46% 52.15% 39.04% 42.14% 31.73%	40.34%	37.54%
75+ 58.35% 73.84% 58.35% 47.50% 36.49% 43.20% 32.19%	36.32%	41.31%
Unknown 48.11% 57.49% 47.96% 41.00% 32.83% 37.37% 26.93%	34.19%	30.26%
Agree 18-24 28.57% 14.29% 35.71% 28.57% 25.00% 28.57% 39.29%	25.00%	21.43%
25-34	23.08% 32.11%	23.08%
35-44 23.58% 20.73% 22.76% 31.30% 27.64% 31.30% 17.48% 45-54 25.52% 22.38% 23.22% 29.08% 28.87% 34.94% 26.15%	30.33%	22.76% 31.38%
45-54 25.52% 22.58% 25.22% 25.06% 28.67% 34.54% 26.15% 55-64 24.79% 20.03% 22.83% 28.29% 31.23% 33.33% 25.91%	31.79%	29.41%
55-74 25.53% 20.12% 24.32% 33.03% 34.53% 37.64% 32.93%	34.43%	30.63%
75+ 27.54% 16.35% 27.54% 37.01% 39.07% 36.49% 32.87%	38.21%	34.08%
Unknown 18.15% 13.92% 20.57% 22.54% 23.75% 22.69% 20.73%	24.05%	22.09%
Neither 18-24 10.71% 7.14% 10.71% 25.00% 28.57% 10.71% 17.86%	25.00%	35.71%
agree nor 25-34 19.23% 10.58% 14.42% 14.42% 28.85% 13.46% 32.69%	25.00%	27.88%
35-44 18.70% 11.79% 10.57% 14.63% 29.67% 19.51% 32.52%	16.67%	30.08%
45-54 20.06% 11.30% 15.46% 15.50% 25.52% 16.55% 36.70%	23.64%	29.50%
55-64 14.29% 11.62% 11.20% 10.78% 24.51% 20.17% 30.53%	21.71%	23.11%
65-74 10.11% 6.01% 8.71% 10.51% 21.62% 15.72% 29.23% 75+ 9.47% 6.20% 9.98% 12.05% 21.00% 17.21% 31.33%	20.52%	25.83% 19.97%
75+ 9.47% 6.20% 9.98% 12.05% 21.00% 17.21% 31.33% Unknown 8.62% 5.30% 7.72% 8.02% 14.52% 11.65% 19.97%	21.69% 12.71%	15.28%
10.01	7.14%	14.29%
Disagree 18-24 17.86% 10.71% 17.86% 7.14% 21.43% 10.71% 14.29% 25-34 4.81% 4.81% 5.77% 3.85% 7.69% 2.88% 9.62%	3.85%	11.54%
35-44 4.07% 3.66% 5.28% 4.07% 8.13% 3.25% 12.20%	2.85%	10.57%
45-54 2.51% 2.09% 2.51% 1.67% 4.39% 3.14% 3.56%	2.09%	5.86%
55-64 1.68% 0.98% 1.54% 0.98% 2.24% 1.68% 4.20%	2.38%	4.76%
65-74 1.10% 0.20% 1.10% 0.90% 1.70% 1.10% 1.80%	1.30%	2.70%
75+ 1.38% 0.34% 1.03% 0.86% 1.20% 1.03% 1.38%	1.55%	1.89%
Unknown 1.82% 1.21% 0.76% 1.97% 1.66% 1.51% 3.48%	1.97%	1.51%
Strongly 18-24 14.29% 10.71% 7.14% 3.57%	0.650/	3.57%
disagree 25-34 11.54% 9.62% 12.50% 9.62% 8.65% 7.69% 13.46% 35-44 7.32% 4.07% 6.10% 4.07% 5.28% 2.85% 7.32%	8.65%	12.50%
35-44 7.32% 4.07% 6.10% 4.07% 5.28% 2.85% 7.32% 45-54 7.95% 5.23% 5.65% 5.44% 4.81% 5.02% 5.23%	4.07% 4.18%	7.72% 7.53%
45-54 7.55% 5.25% 5.65% 5.44% 4.61% 5.02% 5.25% 5.5-64 5.18% 4.34% 5.46% 5.04% 4.76% 4.06% 7.28%	4.10%	5.46%
65-74 5.41% 3.40% 4.40% 3.40% 3.10% 3.40% 4.30%	3.40%	3.30%
75+ 3.27% 3.27% 3.10% 2.58% 2.24% 2.07% 2.24%	2.24%	2.75%
Unknown 6.66% 4.24% 4.99% 4.54% 4.39% 3.78% 4.99%	3.03%	5.75%

PCC priority: by gender

		600 More Police Officers By 2023	Zero Tolerance Approach On Knife Crime	Improve Police Visibility	Tackle Anti-Social Behaviourl	Targeting Rural Crime do	Prevent Young People From Committing Crime	Making It Easier To Report Crime Through 101	Improved Outcomes For Victims	Crack Down On Unauthorised Encampments
Strongly	Female	55.49%	70.44%	60.33%	55.32%	42.18%	52.12%	36.56%	47.62%	33.28%
Agree	Male	53.62%	64.85%	57.14%	47.84%	33.18%	37.31%	27.82%	35.76%	36.23%
	Prefer to self d	48.00%	44.00%	52.00%	36.00%	28.00%	28.00%	24.00%	36.00%	36.00%
	Trans		50.00%	50.00%	75.00%		50.00%	25.00%	50.00%	
	Null & Prefer n	39.60%	50.20%	41.60%	35.20%	30.20%	30.40%	23.60%	30.40%	28.60%
Agree	Female	26.88%	19.53%	24.55%	29.82%	33.71%	32.32%	29.90%	33.10%	31.03%
	Male	24.62%	19.64%	24.15%	33.18%	32.71%	37.22%	28.95%	33.65%	30.40%
	Prefer to self d	8.00%	24.00%	8.00%	20.00%	8.00%	28.00%	16.00%	16.00%	12.00%
	Trans	50.00%	25.00%						25.00%	25.00%
	Null & Prefer n	18.00%	14.20%	20.20%	21.20%	19.80%	19.40%	16.40%	20.60%	17.40%
Neither	Female	11.84%	5.88%	9.42%	10.46%	19.62%	11.75%	26.97%	15.47%	27.40%
agree nor	Male	13.63%	9.77%	11.61%	13.02%	26.22%	19.36%	33.32%	24.15%	23.68%
disagree	Prefer to self d	16.00%	20.00%	12.00%	28.00%	48.00%	28.00%	44.00%	32.00%	32.00%
	Trans	50.00%		50.00%		100.00%	25.00%	75.00%	25.00%	75.00%
	Null & Prefer n	9.60%	6.00%	7.00%	7.00%	12.80%	13.80%	18.80%	11.60%	12.80%
Disagree	Female	1.21%	0.78%	1.64%	1.38%	1.56%	1.12%	2.85%	1.04%	4.24%
	Male	2.21%	1.55%	2.02%	1.50%	3.71%	2.21%	4.32%	2.49%	4.42%
	Prefer to self d	12.00%		12.00%		4.00%			4.00%	4.00%
	Null & Prefer n	2.20%	1.00%	0.80%	2.00%	1.80%	1.60%	3.00%	1.80%	1.60%
Strongly	Female	4.58%	3.37%	4.06%	3.03%	2.94%	2.68%	3.72%	2.77%	4.06%
disagree	Male	5.92%	4.18%	5.08%	4.46%	4.18%	3.90%	5.59%	3.95%	5.26%
	Prefer to self d	16.00%	12.00%	16.00%	16.00%	12.00%	16.00%	16.00%	12.00%	16.00%
	Trans		25.00%		25.00%		25.00%			
	Null & Prefer n	8.60%	5.00%	6.60%	5.60%	5.20%	4.40%	6.60%	3.80%	6.40%

PCC priority: by district

		PCC priority								
Priority agreement level	Manus faun in	600 More Police Officers By 2023	Zero Tolerance Approach On Knife Crime	Improve Police Visibility	Tackle Anti-Social Behaviour	Targeting Rural Crime	Prevent Young People From Committing Crime	Making It Easier To Report Crime Through 101	Improved Outcomes For Victims	Crack Down On Unauthorised Encampments
Strongly	My area/town is: Winchester	53.82%	60.42%	52.08%	43.40%	46.88%	38.89%	30.56%	40.63%	35.769
Agree	Southampton	49.21%	64.57%	57.09%	51.97%	27.56%	45.67%	31.50%	43.31%	27.959
	Gosport	48.85%	69.54%	52.87%	51.15%	27.59%	43.10%	33.33%	39.08%	31.039
	Fareham Basingstoke and Deane	57.03% 53.89%	67.47%	61.45% 60.81%	50.60% 47.55%	26.51% 42.07%	40.16% 36.31%	24.10% 30.84%	33.73% 40.92%	38.559 43.529
	Rushmoor	50.00%	72.39%	60.45%	51.49%	27.61%	38.81%	29.85%	46.27%	35.079
	Hart	59.39%	71.52%	58.18%	45.45%	33.33%	46.67%	29.09%	36.97%	41.219
	Isle of Wight Test Valley	49.16% 52.02%	56.42% 64.52%	49.16% 55.24%	49.72% 52.82%	32.40% 41.53%	30.73% 42.74%	28.49% 27.82%	32.96% 38.71%	16.769 31.459
	Eastleigh	52.33%	70.33%	58.67%	52.00%	31.67%	52.33%	30.67%	43.67%	37.339
	New Forest	56.72%	67.76%	62.39%	48.36%	48.66%	46.57%	31.94%	39.70%	34.339
	Havant	56.72% 57.60%	70.52% 65.60%	60.82% 59.60%	54.85% 50.00%	32.84% 46.80%	42.54% 36.40%	34.70% 30.40%	44.40% 38.00%	39.559 37.209
	East Hants Portsmouth	56.04%	68.13%	59.34%	58.79%	23.63%	48.35%	35.71%	39.56%	35.719
	Unknown	39.00%	49.89%	40.59%	33.56%	29.02%	30.16%	24.04%	28.57%	26.989
Agree	Winchester	26.04%	25.00%	29.51% 22.83%	36.11%	31.25%	39.58%	26.04%	32.64%	33.339
	Southampton Gosport	25.20% 28.16%	18.11% 18.97%	31.03%	29.53% 35.63%	31.89% 29.31%	31.50% 35.06%	30.31% 24.71%	31.89% 33.91%	24.809 26.449
	Fareham	23.69%	20.88%	20.88%	32.53%	34.54%	33.73%	33.33%	35.74%	29.329
	Basingstoke and Deane	25.94%	20.46% 17.16%	23.05%	33.14%	33.72%	38.62%	29.11%	33.43%	29.399
	Rushmoor Hart	28.36% 23.03%	19.39%	30.30%	32.84% 43.03%	38.81% 35.76%	40.30% 35.15%	33.58% 27.88%	29.10% 40.61%	33.589 35.159
	Isle of Wight	22.91%	20.67%	26.82%	26.82%	29.61%	38.55%	27.37%	26.82%	24.029
	Test Valley	23.39%	20.16%	20.97%	27.02%	29.44%	31.45%	25.00%	31.85%	31.059
	Eastleigh New Forest	27.33% 24.78%	16.67% 19.70%	22.33% 23.28%	31.00% 34.63%	33.67% 33.13%	27.33% 33.43%	29.00% 29.55%	33.67% 34.03%	28.679 32.549
	Havant	24.25%	17.91%	22.01%	30.60%	32.46%	35.45%	29.48%	29.48%	38.069
	East Hants	23.60%	20.00%	24.80%	27.20%	31.20%	42.80%	28.00%	31.20%	28.009
	Portsmouth Unknown	26.37% 18.14%	19.78% 12.70%	22.53% 19.73%	28.57% 19.05%	34.62% 19.27%	35.16% 17.69%	31.32% 17.01%	40.11% 20.41%	30.229 16.339
Neither	Winchester	12.50%	8.68%	11.46%	16.32%	16.67%	15.28%	33.33%	23.26%	21.889
agree nor	Southampton	12.60%	9.84%	9.45%	9.45%	29.92%	16.14%	26.38%	17.72%	29.929
disagree	Gosport Fareham	12.64% 12.05%	6.32% 6.43%	10.34% 12.05%	8.05% 10.04%	35.06% 30.12%	16.09% 20.48%	31.61% 35.74%	19.54% 24.50%	32.189 23.299
	Basingstoke and Deane	14.99%	12.10%	11 24%	14.41%	19.31%	19.88%	31.70%	21.33%	21.339
	Rushmoor	11.19%	12.10% 4.48%	10.45%	10.45%	26.87%	15.67%	28.36%	20.90%	24.639
	Hart Isle of Wight	13.33% 16.20%	6.67% 11.17%	8.48% 11.73%	9.09% 11.73%	26.06% 23.46%	16.36% 17.88%	33.94% 30.17%	18.18% 26.82%	19.399 37.999
	Test Valley	18.15%	10.89%	15.32%	15.73%	22.18%	21.37%	36.29%	22.98%	27.829
	Eastleigh	11.67%	8.33%	12.00%	11.67%	29.00%	15.00%	34.00%	18.33%	23.679
	New Forest Havant	14.93% 10.82%	10.45% 4.85%	10.15% 10.82%	13.73% 7.84%	15.82% 25.75%	17.01% 16.04%	31.64% 26.87%	21.79% 19.78%	27.469 15.679
	East Hants	11.20%	8.40%	7.20%	16.00%	17.20%	14.00%	32.80%	23.20%	28.009
	Portsmouth	10.44%	7.14%	12.09%	8.79%	32.42%	12.09%	24.73%	15.93%	23.089
Dianawaa	Unknown Winchester	8.39% 3.47%	4.76%	5.90% 2.43%	7.48%	11.56% 2.43%	12.93%	15.19% 4.86%	10.88%	11.349 4.179
Disagree	Southampton	2.36%	1.39% 3.15%	3.15%	2.76%	5.91%	1.39% 3.15%	4.72%	1.97%	12.209
	Gosport	2.30%				2.87%	0.57%	4.02%	2.30%	3.459 3.619
	Fareham Basingstoke and Deane	2.01%	1.20% 1.15%	1.20%	3.61%	4.42%	1.61% 2.88%	2.01% 5.19%	1.61%	3.619
	Rushmoor	1.73% 2.24%	2.24%	1.49%	4-7-2/19	1.44% 2.24%	0.75%	1.49%		2.249
	Hart		0.000	0.61%	1.21%	2.42%	0.61%	5.45%	2.42%	1.829
	Isle of Wight Test Valley	1.68% 1.21%	0.40%	2.79% 2.42%	2.79% 1.21%	6.70% 3.63%	4.47% 0.81%	3.35% 6.05%	5.59% 3.23%	8.949 4.039
	Eastleigh	2.67%	1.00%	2.00%	1.33%	1.33%	1.67%	2.67%	1.33%	5.339
	New Forest	1.49%	0.30%	0.90%	0.90%	1.19%	1.49%	2.99%	1.79%	2.699
	Havant East Hants	2.61% 2.00%	1.49% 2.40%	1.87% 2.00%	0.75% 2.00%	3.73% 1.60%	2.61% 2.80%	2.61%	2.24% 3.20%	2.619 2.009
	East Hants Portsmouth	1.10%	1.10%	1.65%	0.55%	4.95%	1.10%	4.80% 2.75%	1.65%	3.859
	Unknown	1.81%	0.91%	2.04%	2.04%	1.13%	0.68%	2.27%	1.36%	1.369
Strongly disagree	Winchester	4.17% 10.63%	4.51% 4.33%	4.51% 7.48%	3.47% 6.30%	2.78% 4.72%	4.86% 3.54%	5.21% 7.09%	2.78% 5.12%	4.869 5.129
	Southampton Gosport	8.05%	5.17%	5.75%	5.17%	5.17%	5.17%	6.32%	5.12%	6.909
	Fareham	5.22%	4.02% 2.59%	4.42%	3.21% 3.17%	4.42%	4.02%	4.82%	4.42%	5.229
	Basingstoke and Deane Rushmoor	3.46% 8.21%	2.59% 3.73%	3.17% 6.72%	3.17% 5.22%	3.46% 4.48%	2.31% 4.48%	3.17% 6.72%	2.88% 3.73%	2.319 4.489
	Hart	4.24%	2.42%	2.42%	1.21%	2.42%	1.21%	3.64%	1.82%	2.429
	Isle of Wight	10.06%	2.42% 9.50%	9.50%	8.94%	2.42% 7.82%	8.38%	10.61%	1.82% 7.82%	12.299
	Test Valley	5.24% 6.00%	4.03% 3.67%	6.05% 5.00%	3.23% 4.00%	3.23% 4.33%	3.63% 3.67%	4.84% 3.67%	3.23%	5.659 5.009
	Eastleigh New Forest	2.09%	1.79%	3.28%	2.39%	1.19%	1.49%	3.88%	2.69%	2.999
	Havant	5.60%	5.22%	4.48%	5.97%	5.22%	3.36%	6.34%	4.10%	4.109
	East Hants Portsmouth	5.60% 6.04%	3.60% 3.85%	6.40% 4.40%	4.80% 3.30%	3.20% 4.40%	4.00% 3.30%	4.00% 5.49%	4.40% 2.75%	4.809 7.149
	r or carrioucil	0.0470	4.99%	4.76%	4.99%	4.40%	4.08%	5.67%	2.72%	6.359